

Remarks

Claims 1-12 are in the case.

In response to the Examiner's restriction requirement among six species illustrated by six figures identified by the Examiner, applicant elects the prosecution of claims directed to Species I illustrated for example, in Fig. 2. Applicant also elects the prosecution of any claims which are generic to all the species as well as any claims which are generic to less than all the species but including Species I.

This is believed to entitle applicant to examination of the following claims which are either generic to all the species or generic to fewer than all of the species but including Species I:

Claims 1-8 inclusive.

Claims 9-12 are retained in the application at present in case a claim generic to the species covered by these claims is found allowable. Claims 9 and 10 define features shared by Species IV and V, while claims 11 and 12 define aspects of Species III, IV and V. See Figs. 7, 8 and 9 of the application.

Entry of this amendment and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Dated: May 13, 2003

Respectfully submitted,



Peter C. Michalos
Reg. No. 28,643
Attorney for Applicant
(845) 359-7700

NOTARO & MICHALOS P.C.
100 Dutch Hill Road, Suite 110
Orangeburg, NY 10965-2100